

REMARKS

Claims 21-30 and 32 are pending in the application with claims 46, 47, and 49-51 cancelled herein.

Claims 46 and 47 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hays ('477). Claims 46 and 47 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hays. Claims 46 and 47 are cancelled.

Claims 21-30 and 32 are rejected for obviousness-type double patenting over claims 57 and 58 of US 7,381,255. Applicant submits herewith a timely-filed terminal disclaimer overcoming the rejection. Applicant could not have previously filed the terminal disclaimer since this rejection was first made in the Office Action. Even though the terminal disclaimer is being filed after final rejection, Applicant requests consideration under 37 CFR 1.116(b).

Claims 21-30 and 32 are provisionally rejected for obviousness-type double patenting over certain claims of co-pending applications listed on page 5 of the Office Action. Since Applicant herein overcomes all other grounds for rejection, Applicant requests withdrawal of the provisional double patenting rejection.

Applicant herein establishes adequate reasons supporting patentability of claims 21-30 and 32 and requests allowance of all pending claims in the next Office Action.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 19 Dec 2008 _____

By: /James E. Lake/

James E. Lake
Reg. No. 44,854